**Preparedness**

Was the team prepared for the demonstration?

Yes, knew what they were going to talk about, at least to a structural level

Were they able to demonstrate their product? If not you will be required to discover the issues in a non-judgmental manner of why a demonstration was not possible.

Yes, there were no problems in demonstrating their project

**Expectations**

Did the team deliver the user stories agreed to from the sprint plan?

Most user stories were met fully, agreed that those not completed would be completed by the end of sprint 2

Do all acceptance criteria for all stories pass? How confident did the team appear that the criteria would pass? If some acceptance criteria didn’t pass did the team claim that the story was done or not?

Most pass as is, confident in the passing of all in the future

Were there at least some stories that passed all of their acceptance criteria?

Majority passed all

With respect to the product being developed, does the product developed so far deliver business value?

Yes, as the product is currently functional, with only some smaller things to flush out, the overall appearance was of reasonable quality, although not finalized.

**Technical**

Was the presentation made at the right level for the stakeholders?

Yes, the presentation was easily understandable for all clients, were capable of providing higher detail of development when requested from those clients with coding backgrounds

Is the system architecture suitable for the product being delivered? Was the developer team able to clearly articulate the system architecture and their rationale for choosing it?

Yes, the languages chosen were appropriate for developing this kind of project. They also easily and plainly explained why they chose to make the project this way

Were there any technical difficulties in the product demonstration? If so, were they able to continue to demonstrate other capabilities of the product?

No

Were any defects in the product detected during the presentation on which feedback could be provided?

No

**Professionalism**

Was the product presentation clear and coherent?

Yes, presented with confidence and good flow of presentation, easy and clear.

Were explanations of the product clear?

Yes, clear and no issues arose, made sure that understanding was easy and that info presented was relevant to the client team

Did the product presentation appear to have been prepared in advance or did it appear to be an ad hoc presentation?

Seemed that there was at least preparation in advance of the structure, not sure about what was said

Was the demonstration confidently performed? If problems were encountered during the demonstration how smoothly did the team recover?

Remained confident throughout, even when pressed with multiple questions

Were questions confidently answered?

Yes

Did it appear as if all members of the team were involved in the project?

Seemed as though the coding of the project was reasonably shared among CS students, unclear as to the roles of IS students, although mostly not relevant to presentation